PDF4MC

H. Jung (DESY)

• PDF4MC

why special PDFs for MCs are needed, necessary and important

- Strategy:
 - HOWTO obtain PDF4MC
 - connection to uPDFs and collinear PDFs
- 1st attempts
 - F₂ di-jets in DIS Z₀ at TeVatron
- Conclusions

Motivation: example from HERA



- Collinear approach: incoming/outgoing partons are on mass shell (y+q)² = q'², -Q² + × y s = 0 → x= Q²/(ys)
- BUT final state radiation:

 $(\gamma + q)^2 = q'^2$, $-Q^2 + x \gamma s = m^2 \rightarrow x = (Q^2 + m^2)/(\gamma s)$

• AND initial state radiation:

 $(\gamma + q)^2 = q'^2$, $-Q^2 + x \gamma s + q^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x = (Q^2 - q^2)/(\gamma s)$

- Collinear approach: $q'^2 = q^2 = 0$, order by order
- Well known.... since years....

NLO corrections... better treatment of kinematics... but still not all....
 H. Jung, HERA - LHC workshop, MC & tools WG, May 2008, CERN

gluon from F_2

- F_2 described by PYTHIA with reasonable χ^2
- significant difference from including initial state parton showers
- gluon much less stee
- change of kinematics
- better treat kinematic from beginning
- special machinerie in DIS needed....

H. Jung, HERA - LHC workshop, MC & tools WG, Muy 2000, ULKIN



Motivation

CP. Yuan, DIS2007 New from New Task of Global Analysis New JIS07 Include Transverse Momentum p_T distributions New Data: include not only rapidity (y) but also

 p_T of Drell-Yan pairs and Z bosons



H. Jung, HERA - LHC workshop, MC & tools WG, May 2008, CERN

PDF4MC - why ?

- MC generators include not only LO ME calculations, but include resummation to all orders via parton showers
- as resummations are now included in PDF determiantions, parton showers should also
- "factorization scheme" in MC event generators is not DIS, nor MSbar, but a MC specific factorization scheme
- in a global analysis, PDF and also parton shower parameters can be simultaneously determined ...
- kinematic effects of including transverse momenta can be important for PDFs

Strategy

- fully consistent approach would require doubly uPDFs and appropriate factorization theorem, which will include collinear factorization and kt-factorization as asymptotic limits...
- branch 1: use uPDFs and k_t -factorization as done with CCFM and CASCADE (see talk by F. Hautmann, A. Knutsson and CASCADE)
- branch 2: use standard MCEG like PYTHIA/HERWIG/RAPGAP but also ALPGEN/SHERPA etc and obtain PDFs from fits to F_2 and

TeVatron data, as done in global analyses

- neither LO or NLO is appropriate
- define MC-PDFs, depend on generator, parton showers etc
 - MC-factorization scheme.... instead of MS bar
- include proper treatment of parton showers in initial and final state
- include all kinematics from full simulation, no approximations

Strategy (cont'd)

- use LHAPDF library for parton evolution and alphas
 - use any distribution and evolution code
 - evolve for every call (fast enough, can be improved if necessary...)
 - massive/massless treatment
- use HZTool/RIVET for comparison of MC prediction with measurements
 - HERA H1/ZEUS: F_2 , F_2^c , jets etc....
 - TeVatron CDF/D0: jets, W/Z x section as fct of pt
 - (CTEQ also wants to do this.....)
- use general fit program (PROFFIT or PROFESSOR)
 - easily extendable for other MC generators and also NLO programs
 - Improvements for fits (in progress: A. Knutsson, K.Kutak, H. Hoeth)
 - → calculation in grid points
 - → parametrization
 - fit to data (including uncertainties)

H. Jung, HERA - LHC workshop, MC & tools WG, May 2008, CERN

1st attempts: F₂

- fit F_2 with PYTHIA
- use CTEQ6L as starting distribution
- scan different parameters



1st attempts: F₂

- fit F_2 with PYTHIA
- use CTEQ6L as starting distribution
- scan different parameters

$$xG(x,\mu_0)\sim A_0x^{A_1}\cdots$$

➔ normalization changed



1st attempts: F₂

- fit F_2 with PYTHIA
- use CTEQ6L as starting distribution
- scan different parameters

$$xG(x,\mu_0)\sim A_0x^{A_1}\cdots$$

 normalization changed
 small x-dependence of gluon changes slightly !!!



The gluon after fitting F_2

- Use LO fit....
- Fit F₂ by varying $xg(x,\mu) = A_0 x^{A_1} \cdots$ and $\alpha_{\rm s}(\mu)$
- Fit changes
 normalization and
 slope of gluon ... as
 seen in the scan....
- χ^2/ndf improves...., but can still be better....
- Not yet the final

answer...



H. Jung, HERA - LHC workshop, MC & tools WG, May 2008, CERN

Jets in DIS



- Using H1 jet measurements (H1 EPJC 33 (2004) 477)
 - $5 < Q^2 < 100 \ {
 m GeV}^2 \ -1 < \eta < 2.5 \ E_T > 5 \ {
 m GeV}$
- investigate x dependence of starting distribution

H. Jung, HERA - LHC workshop, MC & tools WG, May 2008, CERN

- Using PYTHIA for jets in DIS NEW !!!
- gives reasonable results...
- for E_t distributions gives

$$\frac{\chi^2}{ndf} = \frac{66}{36} = 1.8$$

with CTEQ6L

Gluon after Jet fit

- fit DIS dijets with PYTHIA
- use CTEQ6L as starting distribution
- scan different parameters

 $xG(x,\mu_0)\sim A_0x^{A_1}\cdots$

- normalization changed
- And as a consequence also the quark distributions...



Gluon after Jet fit

- fit DIS dijets with PYTHIA
- use CTEQ6L as starting distribution
- scan different parameters $xG(x,\mu_0)\sim A_0x^{A_1}\cdots$
- normalization changed
- small x-dependence of gluon does not change much
- → overall χ^2 improves by 10 units
- NOTE: changing the gluon changes also the quarks via mom.sum rule

Consistent result is obtained



H. Jung, HERA - LHC workshop, MC & tools WG, May 2008, CEKN

Jets in DIS... after fit ...



Using H1 jet measurements

(H1 EPJC 33 (2004) 477) $5 < Q^2 < 100 \; {
m GeV}^2 -1 < \eta < 2.5$ $E_T > 5 \; {
m GeV}$



H. Jung, HERA - LHC workshop, MC & tools WG, May 2,

steps toward global fit: Z at pp

Campbell, Huston Stirling Rep.Prog.Phys 70 (2007) 89



Figure 40. The transverse momentum distribution (low p_T) for $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ from CDF in Run 1, along with comparisons to predictions from PYTHIA and ResBos. The dashed blue curve is the default PYTHIA prediction. The PYTHIA solid-green curve has had an additional 2 GeV of k_T added to the parton shower.

$\boldsymbol{Z}_{\!_{0}}$ in pp with PYTHIA

l⊲/dp₊ [pb/(GeV/c)]

- 1st studies on p_t spectrum
 of Z at Tevatron
- reasonable description
 after adjusting intrinsic
 kt... ~ 2 GeV ...
- What is the effect on PDFs ?
- What is the effect on HERA measurements ?
- Perform a global fit including also other HERA measurements !!!

d♂/dp, (Z⁰ -> e⁺ e⁻) 30 84 5 31/01/2004 **PYTHIA 6.416** Z0(MSEL=11),PARP(91)=0.5,k-fac=1.65 25 Z0(MSEL=11),PARP(91)=1.5,k-fac=1.65 Z0(MSEL=11),PARP(91)=2.5,k-fac=1.65 20 15 10 12 14 16 20 18 10 pete [GeV/c]

Next steps ...

- tools are available ...
- start with fits: (Federico Samson-Himmelstjerna, DESY)
 - HERA inclusive data (F2c, F2 (?), DIS jets, photoprod jets and charm)
 - → determine gluon, sea quarks
 - perform fits to parton shower parameters (together with pdfs)
- perform global fits
 - include Tevatron Z for intrinsic kt and IPS
 - study quark pdfs, etc ..
 - collaborate with tuning at Tevatron/LHC including multiple interactions etc ...
- PDF4MC is one of the activities of MC group of Terascale Analysis
 Center at DESY (see presentation tomorrow)

Conclusions

- using PDF4MC helps to improve description of data by MCs
 - ➔ will improve model dependence of hadron level correction
 - smaller systematic uncertainty
- concept of PDF4MC works
- to be extended for a global fit of HERA and other data using PROFFIT
- use of PDF4MC is important to
 - ➔ include kinematic effects
 - use all order resummed predictions (from PS MCs)
 - ➔ is the only consistent way to use PDFs in MC generators